Comparison of Diffusion Flux Models for Fischer-Tropsch Synthesis

3-D CFD Model for Shell & Tube Exchanger with 7 Tubes



Arvind Nanduri Department of Sustainable Energy & Systems Engineering Patrick L. Mills* Department of Chemical & Natural Gas Engineering Texas A&M University-Kingsville

Ender Ozden and Ilker Tari (2010)

Multitubular Reactor Design for Low Temperature Fischer-Tropsch



COMSOL CONFERENCE 2015 BOSTON

*Patrick.Mills@tamuk.edu

Session: Multiphysics Modeling for Reactor Engineering

Kingsville, TX 78363-8202 USA



COMSOL

2015 BOSTON

CONFERENCE

Introduction





Key F-T Catalytic Reactions

	Main Reactions		Conventional Names of F-T Products	
1	Methane	$CO + 3H_2 \rightarrow CH_4 + H_2O$		
2	Paraffins	(2n+2) H_2 + n CO \rightarrow $C_n H_{2n+2}$ + n H_2O	Name	Composition
3	Olefins	$2n H_2 + n CO \rightarrow C_n H_{2n} + n H_2O$	Fuel Gas	<i>C</i> ₁ - <i>C</i> ₂
4	WGS (only on Fe catalyst)	$CO + H_2O \iff CO_2 + H_2$	LPG	<i>C</i> ₃ - <i>C</i> ₄
	Side Reactions		Gasoline	<i>C</i> ₅ - <i>C</i> ₁₂
5	Alcohols	$2n H_2 + n CO \rightarrow C_n H_{2n+1} O + n H_2 O$	Naphtha	<i>C</i> ₈ - <i>C</i> ₁₂
6	Boudouard Reaction	$2CO \rightarrow C + CO_2$	Kerosene	<i>C</i> ₁₁ - <i>C</i> ₁₃
	Catalyst Modifications		Diesel/Gasoil	<i>C</i> ₁₃ - <i>C</i> ₁₇
-			F-T Wax	C ₂₀₊
/	Catalyst Oxidation/Reduction	(a) $M_x O_y + y H_2 \rightarrow y H_2 O + x M$		
		$(U) M_x U_y + y C U \rightarrow y C U_2 + x M$		
8	Bulk Carbide Formation	$y C + x M \rightarrow M_x C_y$		



David A. Wood, Chikezie Nwaoha, Brian F. Towler (2012)

F-T Kinetics Expressions

Fe-Based Olefin Re-adsorption Microkinetic Model

$$R_{CH_{4}} = \frac{k_{5M}P_{H_{2}}\alpha_{1}}{1 + \left(1 + \frac{1}{k_{2}k_{3}K_{4}}\frac{P_{H_{2}}\alpha_{1}}{P_{H_{2}}^{2}} + \frac{1}{k_{3}K_{4}}\frac{1}{P_{H_{2}}} + \frac{1}{k_{4}}\right)\sum_{i=1}^{N}(\prod_{j=1}^{i}\alpha_{j})} \\ \alpha_{n} = \frac{k_{1}P_{CO}}{k_{1}P_{CO} + k_{5}P_{H_{2}} + k_{6}(1 - \beta_{n})} \\ \alpha_{n} = \frac{k_{1}P_{CO}}{k_{1}P_{CO} + k_{5}P_{H_{2}} + k_{6}(1 - \beta_{n})} \\ \alpha_{n} = \frac{k_{1}P_{CO}}{k_{1}P_{CO} + k_{5}P_{H_{2}} + k_{6}} \\ R_{C_{n}H_{2n+2}} = \frac{k_{6}(1 - \beta_{n})\prod_{j=1}^{n}\alpha_{j}}{1 + \left(1 + \frac{1}{k_{2}k_{3}K_{4}}\frac{P_{H_{2}}\alpha_{1}}{P_{H_{2}}^{2}} + \frac{1}{k_{3}}\frac{1}{k_{4}}\frac{1}{P_{H_{2}}} + \frac{1}{k_{4}}\right)\sum_{i=1}^{N}(\prod_{j=1}^{i}\alpha_{j})} \\ R_{C_{n}H_{2n}} = \frac{k_{6}(1 - \beta_{n})\prod_{j=1}^{n}\alpha_{j}}{1 + \left(1 + \frac{1}{k_{2}k_{3}K_{4}}\frac{P_{H_{2}}\alpha_{2}}{P_{H_{2}}^{2}} + \frac{1}{k_{3}K_{4}}\frac{1}{P_{H_{2}}} + \frac{1}{k_{4}}\right)\sum_{i=1}^{N}(\prod_{j=1}^{i}\alpha_{j})} \\ R_{C_{2}} = \frac{k_{v}\left(\frac{P_{CO}P_{H_{2O}}}{P_{H_{2}}^{0.5}} - \frac{P_{CO}P_{H_{2}}^{0.5}}{k_{0}}\right)}{1 + \frac{k_{v}P_{CO}P_{H_{2}}}{P_{H_{2}}^{0.5}}} \\ Long Chain Poreffins \\ C_{v}H_{2n-3} \\ C_{n} = \frac{k_{c}}{\alpha_{n}^{-2}\frac{k_{1}P_{CO}} + k_{5}P_{H_{2}}} + \frac{k_{c}}{k_{1}P_{CO} + k_{5}P_{H_{2}}} + \frac{k_{c}}{k_{0}}\sum_{i=2}^{N}(\alpha_{i}^{-2}P_{C_{(n+12)}H_{2(n+12)}})\right)} \\ R_{C_{n}} = \frac{k_{c}}{\alpha_{n}^{-2}\frac{k_{v}(P_{CO}P_{H_{2O}} + k_{c}P_{H_{2}})}{1 + \frac{k_{v}P_{CO}P_{H_{2O}}}{P_{H_{2}}^{0.5}}} \\ R_{C_{n}} = \frac{k_{v}(P_{CO}P_{H_{2O}} + k_{v}P_{H_{2}})}{1 + \frac{k_{v}P_{CO}P_{H_{2O}}}{P_{H_{2}}^{0.5}}} \\ R_{C_{n}} = \frac{k_{c}}{\alpha_{n}^{-2}\frac{k_{v}(P_{CO}P_{H_{2O}} + k_{v}P_{H_{2}})}{1 + \frac{k_{v}P_{CO}P_{H_{2O}}}{P_{H_{2}}^{0.5}}} \\ R_{C_{n}} = \frac{k_{v}(P_{CO}P_{H_{2O}} + k_{v}P_{H_{2}})}{1 + \frac{k_{v}P_{CO}P_{H_{2O}}}{P_{H_{2}}^{0.5}}} \\ R_{c} = \frac{k_{v}(P_{CO}P_{H_{2O}} + k_{v}P_{H_{2}})}{1 + \frac{k_{v}P_{CO}P_{H_{2}}}{P_{H_{2}}^{0.5}}} \\ R_{c} = \frac{k_{v}(P_{CO}P_{H_{2O}} + k_{v}P_{CO}P_{H_{2}}} + k_{v}P_{v}P_{v})}{1 + \frac{k_{v}P_{CO}P_{v}} + k_{v}P_{CO}P_{v}} + k_{v}P_{v}} \\ R_{c} = \frac{k_{v}(P_{CO}P_{H_{2O}} + k_{v}P_{v})}{1 + \frac{k_{v}P_{CO}P_{v}} + k_{v}P_{v}} + k_{v}P_{v}} \\ R_{c} = \frac{k_{v}(P_{CO}P_{v} + k_{v}P_{v})}{1 + \frac{k_{v}(P_{CO}P_{v} + k_{v}P_{v})} + k_{v}P_$$

F-T Thermodynamic Expressions

Soave-Redlich-Kwong (SRK) EOS

 $P_i = \frac{RT}{(V_i - b_i)} - \frac{\alpha_i a_i}{V_i (V_i + b_i)}$ Vapor-Liquid Equilibrium $Z_i^3 - Z_i^2 + Z_i(A_i - B_i - B_i^2) - A_i B_i$ $A_i = \frac{a_i P_i}{P^2 T^2} \qquad a_i = 0.42747 \frac{R^2 T_{ic}^2}{P_i}$ $B_i = \frac{b_i P_i}{PT}$ $b_i = 0.08664 \frac{\mathrm{R}T_{ic}}{P_{ic}}$ Catalyst Pore Hydrocarbons in Vapor Phase $\alpha_i = \left(1 + m_i \left(1 - \sqrt{T_{ir}}\right)\right)^2$ Liquid Wax with Dissolved $m_i = 0.48508 + 1.55171\omega_i - 0.1561\omega_i^2$ **Hydrocarbons** $\ln\phi_i^P = \frac{b_i}{b_m}(Z_i - 1) - \ln(Z_i - B_i) + \frac{A_i}{B_i} \left(\frac{b_i}{b_m} - \frac{2}{\alpha_i a_i} \sum_i y_i(\alpha_i a_i)_{ij}\right) \ln\left(1 + \frac{B_i}{Z_i}\right)$ $a_m = \sum_{i} \sum_{j} y_i y_j (a_i a_j)^{1/2} (1 - k_{ij})$ $b_m = \sum y_i b_i$

Wang et al. (2008)

Flash Calculations

Rachford-Rice Objective Function $F(\alpha_g) = \sum_i \frac{z_i(K_i - 1)}{(1 + \alpha_g(K_i - 1))} = 0$ Wilson's Correlation $K_i^{\text{guess value}} = \frac{P_{ic}}{P} \exp\left(5.37(1 + \omega_i)\left(1 - \frac{T_{ic}}{T}\right)\right)$ $K_i = \frac{\phi_i^V}{\phi_i^L} \qquad \bigvee$

F

Objectives

- Compare the effect of various flux models on the FT hydrocarbon product distribution for a spherical catalyst shape under isothermal conditions.
- Assess the role of mean pore diameter on the FT hydrocarbon product distribution when both Knudsen and molecular diffusion are included



Governing Equations, Catalyst Properties & Operating Conditions



a = mean pore diameter = 25 nm

Wang et al. (2003)

Boundary Conditions and Model Assumptions

Boundary Conditions (Dirichlet Conditions)

Spherical Particle	At $\xi = -1$ and $\xi = 1$, $C_i = C_{i,bulk}$ ($CO_{2,bulk} = eps$ for convergence)
--------------------	--



Key Assumptions

- i. Concentration is a function of only the radial coordinate, *i.e.*, $C_i = C_i(r)$
- ii. Steady-state
- iii. Isothermal conditions (since ΔT is small)
- iv. Bulk gas phase contains only H₂ and CO (Reactor entrance conditions)

COMSOL Modules

- Transport of Diluted Species
- Coefficient Form PDE Solver



Concentration Profiles for the Key Reactants & Diesel Range

 H_2





Diesel



Concentration(mol/m³)

 H_2O

Diesel Range *C*₁₃-*C*₁₇

Concentration(mol/m³)

TEXAS A&M

Intra-Particle Liquid-To-Vapor Ratio and Methane-Based Diesel Selectivity

- The temperature based flux model (Wang Model) predicts a high L/V ratio when compared to Wilke, Wilke-Bosanquet, Maxwell-Stefan and DGM models.
- The methane-based diesel selectivity rapidly decreases till the reverse WGS happens, and after this point, olefin-readsorption converts long chain olefins to respective paraffins leading to an increase in diesel selectivity.

Effect of Catalyst Mean Pore Diameter on CO₂ Concentration Profile and Liquid-To-Vapor Ratio

Wilke-Bosanquet Model

Dusty-Gas Model

 CO_2

25 nn

20 nm 15 nm

10 nm

5 nm

120

100

80

60

40

20

TEXAS A&M

\mathbf{CO}_2

Concentration(mol/m³)

L/V

Computational Difficulties

Once the convergence issue was solved, the mesh was refined to produce smooth solutions.

- To avoid convergence issues, the radius of the particle was set to a very small number (*ca.* 10⁻³ mm) and the subsequent solution was stored to be used as initial conditions for higher radius.
- Numerical instabilities were encountered in the region where CO and CO₂ concentrations approached zero leading to convergence issues and unrealistic values.
- The convergence issues were solved by not letting CO and CO_2 concentrations approach zero by using $CO=if(CO \le 0, eps, CO)$ and $CO_2=if(CO_2 \le 0, eps, CO)$.

Conclusions

- The temperature-based diffusivity correlations do not take into consideration the *change in the effective diffusivities* of species in a reaction-diffusion system.
- This work demonstrates that COMSOL can be a powerful numerical engine in solving complex multicomponent diffusion flux models to study the intra-particle transport-kinetic interactions.
- Catalyst properties, such as *pore size distribution*, play a major role in understanding the intraparticle FT product distribution.
- The inclusion of *Knudsen diffusion* in the Wilke-Bosanquet and Dusty-Gas Models produce results that closely approximate the FT product distribution of the Wang model due to the formation of CO through *reverse WGS reaction* which, in-turn, participates in the FT reaction network producing hydrocarbons.
- Including the various *multi-component flux models* as an option in the COMSOL species transport modules is suggested as a future add-on feature.

