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#### Abstract

In this work, we numerically investigate particle-particle interactions and relative motions of a pair of paramagnetic elliptical particles by using the direct numerical simulations that resolve the magnetic and flow fields around the finite sized particles. The modeling is based on the finite element method and arbitrary Lagrangian-Eulerian approach with full consideration of particle-fluid-magnetic field interaction. The effects of initial position and aspect ratio of the particles are investigated. The results show that larger initial relative angles and distances, and larger aspect ratios tend to require more time to form a stable chain.
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## 1. Introduction

Due to particle interactions, magnetic particles suspended in non-magnetic field tend to form chains, clusters or columns under magnetic fields. The nonspherical magnetic particles in the suspension show different rheological properties compared to spherical particles. A pair of magnetic particles suspended in a quiescent fluid is a basic model to investigate the particle-particle interactions in particle suspensions. There are some numerical investigations on the motion of magnetic spherical particles under the magnetic field. The particle-based numerical method are the most popular one, which is based on pointdipole approximation and stokes drag law [1-3]. The particle is modeled as a dipole point and the dipoledipole model is applied to study the particle-particle interactions between the particles. However, particleparticle interactions due to the hydrodynamic and magnetic effects are neglected in this method, which may result in the quantitatively inaccurate or even erroneous results. More precise models are introduced to investigate the field-induced coupling and hydrodynamic interactions between the particles. Keaveny and Maxey [4] reported a dipole model including the locally higher-order multipoles to resolve the near-field magnetic interactions between two paramagnetic particles. To consider both hydrodynamic and magnetic interactions between the particles, Gao et al. [5] reported a particle-based numerical scheme by using magnetic dipole moments
and extended forms of the Oseen-Burgers tensor to study the dynamics of magnetic particle chains in a viscous fluid under the rotating magnetic field. Their numerical results are qualitatively and quantitatively in agreement with the results obtained from videomicroscopy experiments. Kang et al. [6, 7] presented a direct numerical simulation model based on finite element method (FEM) and fictitious domain method to solve both two-and three-dimensional flow problems with paramagnetic particles in a nonmagnetic fluid under both uniform and rotating magnetic field. The magnetic force acting on the particles are computed through the divergence of Maxwell stress tensor, and works as a body force applied to the momentum equation. Suh and Kang [8, 9] introduced a direct numerical simulation model based on immersed-boundary finite volume method to solve two-dimensional motion of paramagnetic particles in a viscous fluid under the uniform magnetic field. They have the similar particle trajectories of two magnetic particles under the uniform magnetic field as two-dimensional simulations in Kang's paper [6].

In this study, we developed a transient multiphysics numerical model to investigate the particleparticle interactions and relative motions of a pair of paramagnetic elliptical particles under a uniform magnetic field. Numerical simulations will be performed by direct numerical simulation (DNS) based on finite element method and arbitrary Lagrangian-Eulerian (ALE) approach. The fluid field, magnetic field and particle motion are coupled and solved by using moving mesh based on ALE approach. The effect of some important factors such as particle initial position and aspect ratio on particleparticle interactions and relative motions will be investigated.

## 2. Simulation method

### 2.1 Mathematical model



Figure 1. Schematic of numerical model of a pair of elliptical particles suspended in a quiescent flow under a uniform magnetic field $\mathbf{H}_{0}$.

We consider a pair of identical rigid prolate elliptical particles immersed in a quiescent Newtonian fluid in a square domain with density $\rho_{f}$ and dynamic viscosity $\eta_{f}$ as shown in Figure 2. $\Omega$ represents the entire computational domain, which includes fluid and two particle domains. $\Gamma_{1}$ and $\Gamma_{2}$ are the particle surfaces of those two particles. The center of the square is set as the origin of the Cartesian coordinate system. Two particles are set as axissymmetric to the center of the square with the center-to-center distance of $d$ and the relative angle of $\theta$ with respect to the positive $x$-axis. The particle aspect ratio is $r_{p}=a / b$, where $a$ and $b$ are the major and minor semi-axis lengths of particles, respectively. The length of the computational domain is $L$. The orientation angle of the particle, $\alpha$, is defined as the angle between the major axis of the particle and the positive $x$-axis. A uniform magnetic field, $\mathbf{H}_{0}$, is applied at the positive $x$ direction.

The fluid is considered as an incompressible, Newtonian, and non-magnetic with magnetic susceptibility of $\chi_{f}$. The particles are paramagnetic with magnetic susceptibility of $\chi_{p}$. The flow field, $\mathbf{u}$, is governed by the continuity equation and NavierStokes equation:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\nabla \cdot \mathbf{u}=0 \\
\rho_{f}\left[\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}+(\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{u}\right]=\nabla \cdot\left[-p \mathbf{I}+\eta_{f}\left(\nabla \mathbf{u}+(\nabla \mathbf{u})^{T}\right)\right] \tag{1}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $p$ and $t$ are the pressure and the time, respectively.

No-slip condition is set on the particle surface, so the fluid velocities on the particle surface $\Gamma_{1}$ and $\Gamma_{2}$ are given as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{u}_{i}=\mathbf{U}_{p i}+\boldsymbol{\omega}_{p i} \times\left(\mathbf{x}_{s i}-\mathbf{x}_{p i}\right) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $i=1$ and 2 representing the first and second particle. $\mathbf{U}_{p i}$ and $\boldsymbol{\omega}_{p i}$ are the translational and rotational velocities of the $i$ th particle, respectively.
$\mathbf{x}_{s i}$ and $\mathbf{x}_{p i}$ are the position vectors of the surface and the center of the $i$ th particle. The hydrodynamic force and torque acting on the particle are expressed as:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\mathbf{F}_{\mathrm{Hi}}=\int\left(\boldsymbol{\tau}_{\mathrm{Hi}} \cdot \mathbf{n}\right) d S,  \tag{6}\\
\mathbf{T}_{\mathrm{Hi}}=\int\left[\boldsymbol{\tau}_{H i} \times\left(\mathbf{x}_{s i}-\mathbf{x}_{p i}\right) \cdot \mathbf{n}\right] d S, \tag{7}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $\quad \boldsymbol{\tau}_{H i}=-p \boldsymbol{I}+\eta_{f}\left(\nabla \boldsymbol{u}_{\boldsymbol{i}}+\left(\nabla \boldsymbol{u}_{\boldsymbol{i}}\right)^{\boldsymbol{T}}\right)$ is the hydrodynamic stress tensor on the surface of the $i$ th particle.

The governing equations of the uniform magnetic field are given as:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\mathbf{H}=-\nabla V_{m},  \tag{8}\\
\nabla \cdot \mathbf{H}=0,
\end{gather*}
$$

where $V_{m}$ is the magnetic potential. The magnetic potential difference to generate the magnetic field is set on the top and bottom wall ( AB and CD ). Magnetic insulation boundary condition is applied on the left and the right boundaries ( AC and BD ) of the computational domain.

The magnetic force and torque acting on the particles are expressed as [10]:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\mathbf{F}_{\mathrm{mi}}=\int\left(\boldsymbol{\tau}_{m i} \cdot \mathbf{n}\right) d \Gamma_{i},  \tag{9}\\
\mathbf{T}_{\mathrm{mi}}=\int\left[\boldsymbol{\tau}_{m i} \times\left(\mathbf{x}_{s i}-\mathbf{x}_{p i}\right) \cdot \mathbf{n}\right] d S
\end{gather*}
$$

where $\boldsymbol{\tau}_{m i}=\mu\left(\mathbf{H H}-\frac{\mathbf{1}}{\mathbf{2}} H^{2} \mathbf{I}\right)$ is Maxwell stress tensor on the $i$ th particle surface $\Gamma_{i}, \mathrm{H}^{2}=\mathbf{H} \cdot \mathbf{H}, \mathbf{I}$ is the identity tensor.

The translation and rotation of the particles are governed by Newton's second law and Euler's equation:

$$
\begin{gather*}
m_{p i} \frac{d \boldsymbol{U}_{p i}}{d t}=\boldsymbol{F}_{H i}+\boldsymbol{F}_{m i}  \tag{10}\\
I_{p i} \frac{d \boldsymbol{\omega}_{p i}}{d t}=\boldsymbol{T}_{H i}+\boldsymbol{T}_{m i} \tag{11}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $m_{\mathrm{pi}}$ and $I_{\mathrm{pi}}$ are the mass and the moment of inertia of the $i$ th particle. At each time step, the position of center $\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{p i}}(\mathrm{t})=\left(x_{\mathrm{pi}}, y_{\mathrm{pi}}\right)$ and orientation $\alpha_{\mathrm{pi}}(\mathrm{t})=\alpha(\mathrm{t})$ of the $i$ th particle are expressed as:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \boldsymbol{C}_{\boldsymbol{p i}}(t)=\boldsymbol{C}_{\boldsymbol{p} i}(0)+\int_{0}^{t} \boldsymbol{U}_{p i}(s) d s  \tag{12}\\
& \alpha_{p i}(\mathrm{t})=\alpha_{p i}(0)+\int_{0}^{t} \omega_{p i}(s) d s \tag{13}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\boldsymbol{C}_{\boldsymbol{p} i}(0)$ and $\alpha_{p i}(0)$ are the initial position and orientation of the $i$ th particle.

Here, particle position ( $x, y$ ) and center-to-center distance $d$ is dimensionless by the particle equivalent radius, $\mathrm{R}_{0}$, i.e. $x^{*}=x / R_{0}, y^{*}=y / R_{0}$ and $d^{*}=d / R_{0}$. The dimensionless time is defined as $t^{*}=t / \tau_{m}$. For a linear magnetization of the particle we considered in this work, $\tau_{\mathrm{m}}=\eta_{f} f\left(\mu_{0} \chi_{\mathrm{f}}{ }^{2} \mathrm{H}_{0}{ }^{2}\right)$ is referred to as the magnetoviscous time constant[11], which represents a characteristic time to measure the relative effect between viscous and magnetic stresses.

### 2.2. COMOL settings



Figure 2. Magnetic field around a pair of elliptical particles with $r_{p}=2$ under uniform magnetic field of $\mathrm{H}_{0}=10000 \mathrm{~A} / \mathrm{m}$.


Figure 3. Induced velocity around a pair of elliptical particles with $r_{p}=2$ under uniform magnetic field of $\mathrm{H}_{0}=10000 \mathrm{~A} / \mathrm{m}$.

To fully couple flow field, magnetic field and particle motion, Fluid-structure Interaction (FSI) and Magnetic Field, No Currents (mfnc) modules are coupled in COMSOL Multiphysics® software. The direct numerical simulation (DNS) based on finite element method (FEM) and arbitrary LagrangianEulerian (ALE) approach is used to calculate the magnetic field, flow field and particle motions at the same time. The two-way coupling of particle-fluidmagnetic interaction model is solved by using a timedependent solver through the ALE method, which solves the magnetic field in the entire domain, the fluid field in an Eulerian (deformed) frame, and tracks the particle motion in a Lagrangian (undeformed) frame at the same time. Figure 2 shows the magnetic field around two elliptical particles with $r_{p}=2$ in the computational domain under the magnetic field of $\mathrm{H}_{0}=10000 \mathrm{~A} / \mathrm{m}$.

Modelling of FSI is realized by combining Laminar Flow component in Fluid Flow module, Solid Mechanics component in Structural Mechanics module and Moving Mesh component in

Mathematics module. Figure 4 shows the induced velocity around a pair of elliptical particles with $\underline{r}_{p}=$ 2 under uniform magnetic field of $\mathrm{H}_{0}=10000 \mathrm{~A} / \mathrm{m}$.

The moving meshing interface is used to track the deformation of the fluid domain where the meshes are free to deform. The meshes of particle domain are fixed which is determined by their trajectories and orientations. As the mesh deforms, the mesh distortion is increased. When the distortion value is increased to 0.3 , the re-meshing process initiates.

In this simulation, we used quadratic triangular elements in the entire domain. To accurately calculate the hydrodynamic force and torque, as well as magnetic force and torque acting on the particle, fine meshes are applied around the particle surfaces and finer meshes are applied around the tips of the particles. The total number of elements was about 16,000 in the entire domain and about 120 elements on each particle surface to obtain stable and meshindependent results.

### 2.3 Material properties

In this study, the fluid and particles in the simulations are water and polystyrene particles respectively. The density and dynamic viscosity of water are $1000 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ and $1.002 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~Pa} \cdot \mathrm{~s}$ respectively. The magnetic susceptibilities of fluid and particle are 0 and 0.26 respectively. The density of particle is $1100 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$. The particles used in the simulation have varying aspect ratios, but have the same volume, which is equivalent to a $3.5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$-radius $\left(\mathrm{R}_{0}\right)$ sphere, and the side length of the square domain is $50 \mathrm{R}_{0}$, which is large enough for this work. The magnetic field strength is $\mathrm{H}_{0}=10,000 \mathrm{~A} / \mathrm{m}$.

## 3. Results and Discussion

### 3.1. Orientation of a single prolate elliptical particle




Figure 4. (a) A diagram of the magneto-orientation process for $r_{p}=3$ when the magnetic field is directed left to right; (b) the self-orientation angle of a single prolate elliptical particle, $\alpha$, as a function of dimensionless time, $t^{*}$, for different aspect ratios.

In this section, we investigate the magnetoorientation of a single prolate elliptical paramagnetic particle under a uniform magnetic field. Figure $4 b$ shows the simulation result of the orientation angle of the particle, $\alpha$, of a single elliptical particle varying with the dimensionless time, $t^{*}$ for different aspect ratio, where the initial angle between the major axis of the particle and the magnetic field $\alpha_{0}=85^{\circ}$. To compare the effect of aspect ratio on the particle rotation, the relaxation time is defined as the time required for the particle rotating from initial angle to the orientation angle $\alpha$ falling below $1 \%$ of its initial angle. From the figure 4 b , we can see the effect of aspect ratio, $r_{p}$, on the dimensionless relaxation time, $t^{*}$. For a circular particle, there is no net magnetic torque acting on the particle under a uniform magnetic field, so the relaxation time is considered as infinite. For a nearly circular particle, we can except it has a larger relaxation time due to a smaller torque acting on the particle. From the figure 4b, we can see that the relaxation time of the particle with aspect ratio $r_{p}=1.5$ is the longest among these seven cases. The relaxation time is reduced when $r_{p}$ increases from 1.5 to 3 as shown in the solid line in Figure 4b. We also can see that the minimum relaxation time is achieved when $r_{p}=3$ among those seven cases. As aspect ratio continues to increase, the relaxation time is increased as shown in the dash line in Figure 4b. Those results have quantitative agreement with the theory from Ref. [11], where the relaxation time is first decreased and then increased as the aspect ratio is increased.

### 3.2. Orientation of a pair of elliptical particles

To systematically investigate the global reorientation of two particles, the effect of some important factors
on the particle-particle interaction will be studied in the following subsections.

### 3.2.1 The effect of initial relative angle




Figure 5. The effect of initial relative orientation angle between the particles on the particle-particle interaction. (a) Trajectories of particle centers, (b) center-to-center distance varying with dimensionless time when $r_{p}=2$ and $\mathrm{d}_{0}{ }^{*}=2.4$ for initial relative angle $\theta_{0}=20^{\circ}, 40^{\circ}, 60^{\circ}$ and $80^{\circ}$.

First, we investigate the effect of initial relative angle between two particles, $\theta_{0}$, on the particle-particle interaction. Initially, the particles with aspect ratio $r_{p}$ $=2$ are placed at $\left(x^{*}, y^{*}\right)=\left[ \pm 2.4 \cos \left(\theta_{0}\right) ; \pm 2.4 \sin \left(\theta_{0}\right)\right]$ for different initial center-to-center distance, $\theta_{0}$, as shown as cross dots in Figure 7(a). As can be seen in Figure 5(a) and (b), the particles spend more time for the whole process, and particle trajectory becomes longer as $\theta_{0}$ increases from $20^{\circ}$ to $80^{\circ}$. We can see from Figure 5(b) that, when the initial orientation angle $\theta_{0}=80^{\circ}$ and $60^{\circ}$, the dimensionless center-tocenter distance, $d^{*}$, first increases and then decreases with dimensionless time, $t^{*}$; when $\theta_{0}=20^{\circ}, d^{*}$ decreases with $t^{*}$. However, when $\theta_{0}=40^{\circ}$, the dimensionless distance varying with dimensionless
time has the similar result as $\theta_{0}=80^{\circ}$ and $60^{\circ}: d^{*}$ first increases and then decreases with $t^{*}$. It means that the critical angle, $\theta_{c}$, of the elliptical particles with $r_{p}=2$ is smaller than $40^{\circ}$, which is different from the critical angle of circular particles[9]. In addition, as $\theta_{0}$ increases, the increasing and decreasing rates become steeper and the maximum of $d^{*}$ become larger.

### 3.2.2. The effect of initial center-to-center distance

$$
-d_{0}^{*}=1.1-d_{0}^{*}=1.5-d_{0}^{*}=2.0-d_{0}^{*}=2.4-d_{0}^{*}=3.0
$$




Figure 6. The effect of initial center-to-center distance on the particle-particle interaction. (a) Trajectories of particle centers, (b) center-to-center distance varying with dimensionless time when $r_{\mathrm{p}}=2$ and $\theta_{0}=80^{\circ}$ for initial relative distance $d_{0}{ }^{*}=1.1,1.5,2.0,2.5$ and 3.0.

Then, we investigate the effect of initial center-tocenter distance between two particles, $d_{0}{ }^{*}$, on the particle-particle interaction. Initially, the particles with aspect ratio $r_{p}=2$ are placed at $\left(x^{*}, y^{*}\right)=$ $\left[ \pm d_{0}{ }^{*} \cos \left(80^{\circ}\right), \pm d_{0}{ }^{*} \sin \left(80^{\circ}\right)\right]$ when initial center-tocenter distance, $d_{0}{ }^{*}$, varies from 1.1 to 3.0 as shown as cross dots in Figure 6(a). As can be seen from Figure 6(a) and (b), the particles spend more time for the whole process and particle trajectory becomes longer when $d_{0}{ }^{*}$ increases from 1.1 to 3.0. There is a
similar result for $d_{0}{ }^{*}$ as we discussed in Section 3.2.1: it first increases and then decreases with $t^{*}$ when $d_{0}{ }^{*}=$ $1.5,2.0,2.4$ or 3.0 . The result is different for $d_{0}{ }^{*}=$ 1.1: it increases monotonously with $t^{*}$. Figure 6(b) demonstrates that the magnetic torque has much more influence on the particle-particle interaction when the two particles are too close. In addition, the change rule for $d_{0}{ }^{*}=1.1$ is also different: it has just one increasing-and-decreasing circle, while other relative distances have the similar results as in Section 3.2.1: two increasing-and-decreasing circles happens.

### 3.2.3. The effect of particle aspect ratio



Figure 7. The effect of particle aspect ratio on the particleparticle interaction. (a) Trajectories of particle centers, (b) center-to-center distance varying with dimensionless time when $\mathrm{d}_{0}{ }^{*}=3.0$ and $\theta_{0}=80^{\circ}$.

Here, we investigate the effect of particle aspect ratio, $r_{p}$, on the particle-particle interaction. Initially, the particles are placed at $\left(x^{*}, y^{*}\right)=\left[ \pm 2.4 \cos \left(80^{\circ}\right)\right.$, $\pm 2.4 \sin \left(80^{\circ}\right)$ ] when particle aspect ratio, $r_{p}$, varies from 1 to 3 as shown as cross dots in Figure 7. To compare the effect of $r_{p}$ on the particle-particle interaction, the particle trajectories and the center-tocenter distance are dimensionless by its major semiaxis length $a$. Figure 7(a) and (b) show the particle trajectories and particle center-to-center distance for
$r_{p}=1,2$ and 3 . We can see that, as $r_{p}$ becomes larger, the particle trajectory becomes longer and the particles spend more time for the whole process. What's more, the maximum of $d^{*}$ becomes larger and the overall increasing rate from beginning to the maximum becomes smaller as $r_{p}$ becomes larger.

## 4. Conclusions

The particle-particle interactions and relative motions of a pair of paramagnetic particles of elliptical shapes are numerically investigated using direct numerical simulations based on the finite element method and arbitrary Lagrangian-Eulerian approach. The numerical modeling considers the particle-fluid-magnetic field interaction. The rotational dynamics of a single elliptical paramagnetic particle is first investigated. The simulation results are in quantitative agreement with the theory in Shine and Armstrong's paper [11]. We focused our simulations on the relative motion of a pair of particles when initial orientation angle is parallel to the direction of magnetic field. Based on this model, we investigated the influence of the initial relative angle and distance of the particles, aspect ratio on the particle-particle interactions and relative motions of two particles. The results show that the particles of larger initial relative angles and distances need more time to form a stable chain and smaller final particle and relative orientation angles. For a larger particle aspect ratio, more time is required to form a chain, and the final particle and the relative orientation angles are larger. Therefore, this work provides useful information for the fundamental particle-particle interactions mechanism in the magnetic particle suspensions under a uniform magnetic field.
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