

Analytical Method to Calculate EMF Induced in Ionic Liquid by Magnetic Field



Power and productivity for a better world™

Analytical Method to Calculate EMF Induced in Ionic Liquid by Magnetic Field



Subhashish Dasgupta Scientist, ABB Corporate Research, Bangalore

K. Ravi Kumar Scientist, ABB Corporate Research, Bangalore **Philipp Nenninger** Manager, ABB Automation Products, Germany

Frank Gotthardt, Manager, ABB Automation Products, Germany

Contents

- Induced EMF in fluid: 1D Analytical Calculations
- > Induced EMF in fluid: COMSOL Multiphysics 3D Model
- **Comparison of 1D Analytical with 3D F.E. Results**
 - Case 1: Electrically Insulated Pipe Wall
 - Case 2: Electrically Conducting Pipe Wall
- Conclusion

Induced EMF in Fluid: 1D Analytical Calculations

Induced EMF in fluid flowing past magnetic field

Analytical Expression (*Electrically Insulated Pipe*)

Induced EMF: $\Phi 2 - \Phi 1 = BVD$

B: Magnetic Field, V: Average Velocity, D: Diameter

Assumptions:

- Insignificant 3D effects
- Uniform magnetic and flow fields
- Insulated pipe wall



Analytical Calculation of Induced EMF

Induced EMF in Fluid: COMSOL Multiphysics 3D Model Prediction

Geometry and Meshing



Boundary Conditions and Inputs

Fluid Flow

- \blacktriangleright inlet velocity = u m/s
- outlet pressure = 0 atm
- > no slip wall (u = 0 m/s)

Electromagnetics

- ➤ Insulated air domain ∇×A=0
- DC current and number of coil turns
- Pipe wall conductivity ~ 0 S/m



- Model in COMSOL meshing module
- Tetrahedral meshing
- Boundary layers: Resolve near wall physics

Multi-turn coil



Induced EMF in Fluid: COMSOL Multiphysics 3D Model Prediction

Physics Coupling and Governing Equations



Method Highlights

- Multi Turn Coil
- Segregated Solvers
- AMS solver for electromagnetics

p - pressure u - velocity μ - viscosity μ_o -vac. permeability μ_r -rel. permeability B-magnetic flux density J-current density E-induced electric field σ -conductivity



 $\mathsf{EMF} = \mathbf{\Phi}_1 \mathbf{-} \mathbf{\Phi}_2$

Comparison of 1D Analytical with 3D F.E. Results (Case 1: Electrically Insulated Pipe Wall)



- > Analytical Calculations: Almost 1% Deviation F.E. Results
- > Case: Electrically Insulated Pipe
- Analytical calculations useful in investigations

Comparison of 1D Analytical with 3D F.E. Results (Case 2: Electrically Conducting Pipe Wall)

Induced EMF

$$\Phi_1 - \Phi_2 = BVD \left(1 + \frac{t\tau}{r\sigma}\right)^{-1}$$

1.5 Electric Potential, Ø (normalized) 1.0 Drop in EMF 0.5 (Potential Leakage) 0.0 Non conducting wall -0.5 Conducting wall -1.0 -1.5 0.3 0.6 0.9 1.2 0

B-Magnetic flux density V-Average Velocity D-Diameter t-tube thickness r-tube radius σ-fluid conductivity





Analytical Calculations: Almost 1-10% Deviation with F.E. Results

Conclusions

- Simplistic cases: ID calculations useful in understanding MEHD phenomenon
- Complicated cases: 3D F.E. calculations necessary, Realistic Estimation using COMSOL Multiphysics
- Future Scope: 3D calculations can improve 1D results by incorporation of correction factor