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Abstract: In this study we model the sound attenuation properties of a hearing aid earmold tube. The model includes thermoviscous acoustic effects and it couples structural vibrations to the external acoustic field. Moreover, the finite element domain is coupled at two boundaries with an electroacoustic model of a hearing aid and an acoustic 2-cc coupler.
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1 Introduction

A major problem in high power hearing aids is feedback and mechanical stability. One of the possible sources of feedback may be the sound radiating from the plastic tubing that connects the hook on the hearing aid (behind/on the ear) to the earmold (located in the ear). Sound radiating through the tubing may be picked up by the hearing aid microphone and create feedback. The current modeling work is inspired by the experimental work of Flack et al. [6]. Using Comsol Multiphysics we model the same experimental setup which they used to measure the sound attenuation of the tubing. In the following, we, firstly, present experimental set-up and the finite element (FEM) model set up. Secondly, we present the governing equations for sound propagation in a fluid including thermoviscous losses and for sound vibrations in an elastic solid. We also present the boundary conditions (BCs) used to couple the FEM domain to an electroacoustic equivalent of a hearing aid and a coupler. Then the modeling results are presented, and, finally, we give some conclusions.

2 Experimental set-up

In the experiments performed by Flack et al., a rubber tube of length 30 mm is connected in one end to a HA and in the other end to a 2-cc coupler. The experiment is performed in a soundproof test box. The signal played by the hearing aid receiver is recorded at the coupler microphone $L_{mic}$ and on the outside at the microphone $L_0$. The experimental set-up is depicted in Fig. 1.

Figure 1: Schematic of the experimental set-up used by Flack et al. [6] to measure sound attenuation. The system includes hearing aid (HA), 2-cc coupler, receiver (rec.), coupler microphone $L_{mic}$, external microphone $L_0$, and the 30 mm earmold tube.
3 Model set-up

In order to model the sound attenuation of the earmold tubing we have chosen to mimic the experimental set-up described above. The computational domain is depicted in Fig. 2 including selected dimensions. The tube has length $L$, inner diameter $R$, and outer diameter $R + dR$. To reduce the computational cost the system has been simplified. Firstly, we have used an axisymmetric model and, secondly, the hearing aid and coupler are modeled by electroacoustic equivalents on the inlet and outlet boundaries. The coupling is performed using acoustic impedance BCs (described below). The outer acoustic domain is truncated using a perfectly matched layer (PML) region mimicking an open boundary (also described below). The system of equations and BCs is implemented using the Comsol scripting language into Matlab.

4 Governing equations

In the fluid areas we solve the full thermoviscous acoustic problem assuming time harmonic variation of the dependent variables. The problem is defined by the linearized Navier-Stokes equation

$$i\omega p_0 u_i = -\nabla p + (\lambda + \mu)\nabla_i(\nabla_j u_j) + \mu \nabla_j\nabla_j u_i,$$  \hfill (1)

the continuity and constitutive equation

$$i\omega \left( \frac{p}{p_0} - \frac{T}{T_0} \right) = -\nabla_i u_i,$$  \hfill (2)

and the energy equation

$$i\omega p_0 C_p T = \kappa \nabla_i \nabla_i T + i\omega p,$$  \hfill (3)

where $p$ is the acoustic pressure, $T$ the excess temperature, $u_i$ the acoustic velocity variation component, $\omega$ the angular frequency, $p_0$ the static pressure, $T_0$ the static temperature, $\mu$ the dynamic viscosity, $\lambda$ the dilatational viscosity, $C_p$ the specific heat at constant pressure, and $\kappa$ the heat conduction coefficient. Further details are found in Refs. [2, 1, 9, 5, 8].

In the solid domain we solve for the displacement field $U_i$ in a linear elastic solid

$$-\omega^2 \rho_0 U_i = \nabla_j \sigma_{ij},$$  \hfill (4)

where we again assume time harmonic variations, and $\sigma_{ij}$ is the stress tensor. To mimic the lossy properties of the elastic solid we introduce a complex Young’s modulus $\tilde{E} = E(1 + i\eta)$, where $\eta$ is the loss factor. In this study we assume that it is not necessary to model viscoelastic properties of the tubing and that $\eta$ is not frequency dependent.

5 Perfectly matched layers

In the perfectly matched layers (PMLs) region we introduce a change of independent variables $x_i \rightarrow Z_{xi}$. The variables are changed to complex valued and chosen such that they are continuous on the boundary. The new complex variables introduce a damping of the outgoing and incoming waves. With reference to Fig. 2 a set of coordinates that introduce absorption in the
On the inlet and outlet boundaries we wish to couple the FEM model to an electroacoustic model (or two-port model). This idea is inspired by Stinson and Daigle [10]. On the inlet we have a two-port prescribing the relation between the electrical input on the receiver (current $I_{\text{rec}}$ and voltage $V_{\text{rec}}$) and the acoustic output of the HA (pressure $p_{\text{in}}$ and volume flow $Q_{\text{in}}$) given by

$$
\begin{bmatrix}
  V_{\text{rec}} \\
  I_{\text{rec}}
\end{bmatrix} =
\begin{bmatrix}
  A_{\text{in}} & B_{\text{in}} \\
  C_{\text{in}} & D_{\text{in}}
\end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix}
  p_{\text{in}} \\
  Q_{\text{in}}
\end{bmatrix}
$$

(13)

where the matrix elements are frequency dependent. On the outlet we also use an electroacoustic equivalent of the 2-cc coupler and coupler microphone, which may be formulated as an acoustic impedance

$$
Z_{\text{out}} = \frac{p_{\text{out}}}{Q_{\text{out}}}. 
$$

(14)

The two above acoustic conditions are imposed as weak constraints on the points $\delta_{\text{in}}$ and $\delta_{\text{out}}$ depicted on Fig. 2. On the inlet as

$$
\begin{align*}
  u - \lambda_1 u_s &= 0 \quad \text{on } \partial \Omega_{\text{in}}, \\
  \int_{\delta_{\text{in}}} (V - A_{\text{in}}p_{\text{in}}/\alpha_{\text{in}} - B_{\text{in}}Q_{\text{in}}) \lambda_1 \, dP &= 0
\end{align*}
$$

(15)

and on the outlet

$$
\begin{align*}
  u - \lambda_2 u_f &= 0 \quad \text{on } \partial \Omega_{\text{out}}, \\
  \int_{\delta_{\text{out}}} (P_{\text{out}}/\alpha_{\text{out}} - Z_{\text{out}}Q_{\text{out}}) \lambda_2 \, dP &= 0
\end{align*}
$$

(16)

where $\lambda_1$ and $\lambda_2$ are Lagrange multipliers and $u_s$ and $u_f$ are the non-evanescent eigensolutions to the thermoviscous acoustic problem on the inlet and outlet boundaries, respectively. It is here important to note that we need to use the eigensolutions formulation because the boundaries are not regarded as walls but rather as open semi reflecting boundaries.

### 7 Results

The model is firstly run for material parameters representative of the earmold tubing used on standard Widex hearing aids. With Young’s modulus $E = 4.1 \times 10^7$ Pa, Poisson’s ratio $\sigma_p = 0.45$, density $\rho_s = 1220$ kg/m$^3$, and the loss factor estimated to $\eta = 0.019$. 

**Fluid-solid:** On the fluid-solid boundary we impose continuity of the displacement field $i\omega U_i = u_i$ and of the normal stress. This is achieved by introducing a Lagrange multiplier $\lambda = (\lambda_u, \lambda_n)$ and defining

$$
\begin{align*}
  n_2 : \sigma &= \lambda \\
  n_1 : S &= -\lambda \\
  \tilde{\lambda} \cdot (u - i\omega U) &= 0.
\end{align*}
$$

(9), (10), (11)

where $\tilde{\lambda}$ is the test function of the Lagrange multiplier, $\sigma$ is the stress in the solid and $S$ is the stress in the fluid. This yields a weak form on the solid-fluid boundary having the form

$$
\int_{\partial \Omega} (\tilde{U} - \tilde{u}) \cdot \lambda \, dL + \int_{\partial \Omega} \tilde{\lambda} \cdot (u - i\omega U) \, dL = 0.
$$

(12)
The simulated results of a sound attenuation experiment are presented in Fig. 3 together with the experimental results of Flack et al. Note that the materials used in the experiment do not match the simulated tube. The experimental results are presented as a rough order of magnitude estimate.

In Fig. 3 we note that the actual/correct sound attenuation calculated from within the tube center to outside the tube is larger than the one measured using the coupler microphone. This could indicate that the attenuations measured in the experiments are actually off by 30 dB for some frequencies.

In Fig. 4 we present the simulated attenuation for various values of the loss factor $\eta$, together with a simulated steel pipe, and again the experimental results (again only depicted as an order of magnitude estimate). From the figure we note that larger attenuation is naturally achieved for a more lossy material (increasing $\eta$) and for a more stiff material (steel). To numerically verify the experimental results of Flack et al. we might have to make some improvements to the model. Firstly, the loss factor might be frequency dependent and, secondly, the rubber might exhibit nonlinear viscoelastic properties not modeled here.

As a final results we present the sound pressure level $L_p = 20 \log(|p|/20 \cdot 10^{-6})$ field around the radiating earmold tube. The sound pressure level is depicted in Fig. 5 for the frequency $f = 1000$ Hz.

### 8 Conclusion

The sound attenuation properties of earmold tubing have been investigated using an axisymmetric model of a thermoviscous acoustic fluid coupled with a linear elastic solid. The model comprises acoustic impedance boundary conditions that couple a FEM domain to an electroacoustic representation of a larger external acoustic system. This enables us to model a large acoustic system and only solve the details FEM model when relevant.
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