

**REET: Renewable Energies and Environmental Technologies** 

### **Helical Coil Flow: a Case Study**

#### Marco Cozzini

#### Fondazione Bruno Kessler (FBK) Trento, Italy

Comsol Conference 2009



## Outline

- Motivations
- Fluid dynamics background
- Geometry details
- Model implementation
- Toroidal path
- Helical path
- Concluding remarks



# The REET Unit at FBK

**REET:** Renewable Energies and Environmental Technologies

Topics:

- Solar thermal energy
- Non ionizing radiations
- Biomass
- Geothermal energy

+

Applied research in collaboration with local companies



Methods:

- Experimental activity
- Numerical simulations
- Partner collaborations



Crucial aspects:

- Vector fluid
- Piping system
- Heat source
- Flow regime

In general: non-isothermal flow

Here: fluid dynamics *independent* of heat transfer

Water, small temperature variation → Purely incompressible flow





# **Flow in Curved Pipes**

Flow in curved circular pipes: Dean (1927)

- Small velocity (laminar regime)
- Negligible torsion
- Small curvature ( $\delta \ll 1$ )

Dean number

$$De = Re\sqrt{\delta}$$

$$\delta = a / R$$



Investigations found in literature:

- Cross section (circle, square, ...)
- Pipe path (torus, helix, ...)
- Regime (laminar, turbulent, ...)



# **Flow in Curved Pipes**

Pipe curvature gives rise to *secondary flow* (flow perpendicular to the main flow direction).

Typical flow pattern at small Dean numbers:

• Main flow: slightly modified with respect to straight tubes due to *centrifugal force* 

• Secondary flow: recirculation structures (Dean flow)



Enhanced heat transfer efficiency due to transverse convective flux



## **Helical Coil Flow**

Helical channel with non trivial cross section Large number of turns  $\rightarrow$  infinite coil approximation

Translational invariance with respect to curvilinear coordinates (Frenet frame)  $\rightarrow$  possible dimensional reduction (3D  $\rightarrow$  2D)

Here: 3D finite geometry with *periodic-like* boundary conditions

Helical path: non negligible role of *torsion* Put in evidence by comparison with toroidal path



## **Frenet Frame**

- t, tangent unit vector:
   tangent to curvilinear path
- **n**, normal unit vector: pointing towards curvature radius
- **b**, binormal unit vector: constant in the absence of torsion





## **Helical Path**





## **Channel Cross Section**

Cross section in the plane orthogonal to the tangent vector **t** 

Same cross section for helical and toroidal geometries



$$Re = \frac{\rho v D_{h}}{\eta}$$

$$\begin{cases} \rho = density \\ \eta = dynamic viscosity \\ v = average velocity \\ D_{h} = hydraulic diameter \end{cases}$$

$$D_{\rm h} = 4 \frac{A_{\rm ch}}{P_{\rm ch}} \approx 2.1 \,\rm{mm}$$
  
$$\begin{cases} A_{\rm ch} = \rm{channel\,cross\,section\,area} \\ P_{\rm ch} = \rm{channel\,cross\,section\,perimeter} \end{cases}$$



Navier-Stokes equations, incompressible fluid (water).

**Solver**. PARDISO, highly non-linear problem, manual tuning of damping parameters.

**Mesh, element order**. Unstructured tetrahedral mesh elements, swept prism mesh elements, Lagrange –  $P_2P_1$  or Lagrange –  $P_3P_2$ .

**Artificial diffusion**: crosswind diffusion (0.1), isotropic diffusion occasionally used for intermediate simulations.

**Boundary conditions**. Walls: no slip b.c.'s. Inlet and outlet: no viscous stress + periodic b.c.'s + pressure at a point.











Check symmetry: full cross section Check curvature: 90° arc Meshes: 4x5 (half section) Element order:  $P_3P_2$ Re = 220, De = 90, Dp = 40 Pa / 360°

Good agreement with 10° half section geometry for similar dof density

v\_t [m/s] Max: 0.0267 0.025 0.02 0.015 0.01 0.005 0.005 0 Min: 0





### Helix

Arc-length: 10° Mesh: 10x12 (half section) Element order:  $P_3P_2$ Re = 453, De = 181, Dp = 100 Pa / 360° B.c.'S:  $v(r_{out}) = R_z(\pi/18) v(r_{in})$ ,  $p(r_{out}) = p(r_{in}) - \Delta p$ ,

#### No symmetry, additional Dean structure











Arc-length:  $360^{\circ}$ Mesh: swept, prism elements Element order:  $P_2P_1$ Dp = 100, ..., 1000 Pa / 360°



Basically linear velocity - pressure relation, laminar regime (similar results are obtained for the toroidal geometry)





## Conclusions

Periodic boundary conditions:

Convergence issues with rispect to standard inlet-outlet b.c.'s

Mesh requirements:

- Identical meshes for coupled boundaries
- High quality elements (in particular close to periodic boundaries)
- $\rightarrow$  unstructured meshes and higher order elements

Successful observation of non trivial secondary flow structures with full 3D Navier-Stokes simulations





Toroidal path: velocity-pressure relation, 0.5 laminar regime





## **Technical Info**

Machine. Processor: double quad-core, 2GHz. RAM: 16 GB.

#### Number of degrees of freedom.

Helix:

- 10° geometry: 360000 dof
- single turn geometry: 250000 dof *Torus*:
- 10° half section geometry: 42000 dof (9x10), 240000 dof (16x18)
- 90° full section geometry: 220000 dof