

# Numerical Optimization of two Multi-microelectrode systems for Single-cell Manipulation by Dielectrophoresis

Nguyen Ba Loc Dr. He Wei, SIMTech- A\*STAR

#### Introduction

•The ability to control cells' location on a substrate is highly desirable in biomedical applications like tissue engineering, cell-based sensors, or to study single cell interactions.

•Traditional methods such as magnetic or optical tweezers have drawbacks (lengthy pre-treatment<sup>1</sup> and local heating<sup>2</sup>, respectively).

•DEP controls cell's location based on electric field intensity distribution and permittivity index (Clausius-Mossotti factor, CM).

•Manufacturing microelectronics for testing is costly  $\rightarrow$  COMSOL numerical simulation.

#### Electrode Configuration Design

p-DEP with DC and AC-DEP









Optimize inter-electrode gap size

## **Results (continued)** •DC, n-DEP ( $\epsilon_p$ =59 < $\epsilon_m$ =80)



Hexagonal electrodes give more robust control in step-wise cell movement than quadruple electrode





Side electrodes added to direct cell to the center in 3D extension of n-DEP



Confirm cell's levitation height

• AC converts n-DEP to p-DEP ( $\epsilon_p$ =59 <  $\epsilon_m$ =80).



CM factor spectrum of a cell plotted with MATLAB. The inset figure<sup>3</sup> shows the CM factors with different media. For normal media (grey line), p-DEP is not possible

### Conclusion

•AC is more efficient at moving cell due to the tunability of the CM factor. It also enhances cell viability compared to DC.

n-DEP trap is weaker than p-DEP, but still needed for applications with delicate cell lines kept in normal media instead of DEP buffer.
Euture direction: separate electrodes from cells using an

•Future direction: separate electrodes from cells using an encapsulation layer for reusability.

•We provided 2 customizable models that work for a wide range of scenarios for DEP cell manipulation: different cell permittivity, different media conductivity, using either DC or AC signals.

1. Chen L, Offenhäusser A, Krause H-J. Review of Scientific Instruments. 2015;86(4):044701.

2. Seol Y, Carpenter AE, Perkins TT. Optics letters. 2006;31(16):2429-31.

3. Lin RZ, Ho CT, Liu CH, Chang HY. *Biotechnology journal*. **2006**;1(9):949-57.