Numerical Analysis of a LiFePO₄/Graphite Lithium-ion Coin-cell Battery
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Abstract:
Lithium-ion batteries, based on the LiFePO₄/graphite chemistry, attracts nowadays much attention for application in electric vehicles due to the excellent cycling stability of the LiFePO₄ electrode. In this work, we present a simulation research based on a two-dimensional axis-symmetric model of LiFePO₄/graphite lithium-ion batteries using COMSOL Multiphysics®. The spatial distributions of lithium ion concentration, potential and lithium concentration at the electrode particles are obtained. The electrode reaction, discharge characteristics, and the effect of electrode configuration are analyzed.
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1. Introduction
Electric vehicles (EVs) powered by rechargeable lithium-ion batteries (LIBs) have been developed as a replacement for the conventional internal-combustion-engine automobiles. Research has been yielding a stream of improvements to the traditional LIB technology, focusing on energy and power density, durability, cost and safety [1-3]. LiCoO₂ (LCO), which is widely used as a cathode material for lithium-ion batteries, is now being faced to a difficulty in the application for electric vehicles due to its thermal runaway problem as well as high raw material price and resource restrictions [4,5]. LiFePO₄ (LFP) is a favorable choice as a cathode material in EV applications due to its stable and safe olivine structure as well as low cost, environmentally benign chemistry, and abundant iron materials as resources [6-9]. To improve its material performance, researchers have been working to overcome two major limitations of LiFePO₄: low electrical conductivity and small Li-ion diffusivity. The surface coating and the effect of various dopants such as metal ions on the electronic conductivity have been studied. However, it is still unclear whether the conductivity enhancement is truly intrinsic [10]. A thorough understanding of the properties of LiFePO₄ cathode used in lithium-ion batteries is necessary.

In this work, a LiFePO₄/Graphite lithium-ion battery (LIB) sealed in a CR2032-type coin cell is numerically analyzed. The finite-element analysis tool COMSOL Multiphysics® is used in this work. Results are compared with those from the LiCoO₂ and LiMn₂O₄ cathodes.

2. Numerical Model
The LFP and graphite electrodes with 200 μm thickness used in this study are assembled and sealed in a CR2032-type coin cell, which has been used in many investigations [11-13]. The positive and negative electrodes are separated by a polypropylene separator with 200 μm thickness. The two stainless steel spacers are used between the electrodes and coin cell case and a gasket is used to seal the coin cell. The electrolyte is a liquid electrolyte composed of 1.2 M LiPF₆ in 3:7 EC/EMC solution. The mathematical equations that describe the discharge process of the coin cell have been presented in the earlier literature [14]. Briefly, these consist of a mass balance, and current conservation in the electrolyte, current conservation in the electrodes, the Butler-Volmer equation, and a charge balance relating the reaction current to the solution current.

The main electrochemical reactions of LiFePO₄/graphite LIBs can be represented by

\[ C₆ + xLi^+ + xe^- \leftrightarrow LiₓC₆, \]  \hspace{1cm} (1)

\[ Li_{1-x}FePO₄ + xLi^+ + xe^- \leftrightarrow LiFePO₄. \]  \hspace{1cm} (2)

In this work, the reactions shown in eqs. (1) and (2) are assumed to be insertion reactions occurring at the surface of small solid spherical particles of radius \( r_p \) in the electrodes. The insertion reaction is described as

\[ Li^+ + Ce^- + Θ_s \leftrightarrow LiΘ_s, \]  \hspace{1cm} (3)

where \( Θ_s \) denotes a free reaction site and \( LiΘ_s \) is an occupied reaction site at the solid particle surface. The electrochemical reactions are considered as a function of the exchange current...
density and overpotential. The reaction kinetics is solved by using a Butler-Volmer expression [15]

\[ i_{loc} = i_0 \left( \exp \left( \frac{a_a \eta}{RT} \right) - \exp \left( -\frac{a_c \eta}{RT} \right) \right), \]  

(4)

\[ i_0 = F(k_c)^{a_a}(k_a)^{a_c}(c_{s,max} - c_s)^{a_c} \cdot \left( \frac{c_l}{c_{l,ref}} \right)^{a_a}, \]  

(5)

where \( i_{loc} \) denotes the local charge transfer current density, \( i_0 \) is the exchange current density, \( a_a \) is the anodic transfer coefficient, \( a_c \) is the cathodic transfer coefficient, \( k_a \) is the anodic rate constant, \( k_c \) is the cathodic rate constant, \( \eta \) is overpotential, \( F \) is Faraday’s constant, and \( R \) is the universal gas constant. \( c_l \) denotes the electrolyte salt concentration and \( c_{l,ref} \) is the electrolyte reference concentration. \( c_s \) denotes the concentration of lithium (\( Li\theta \)) in the electrode particles, \( c_{s,max} \) is the total concentration of reaction sites, and the state-of-charge variable SOC can be defined by \( \text{SOC} = \frac{c_s}{c_{s,max}} \).

The equilibrium potential \( E_{eq} \) of lithium insertion electrode reactions is a function of SOC, which is used to obtain the overpotential \( \eta \) as follows

\[ \eta = \phi_S - \phi_I - E_{eq}, \]  

where \( \phi_S \) is the electric potential in the electrode and \( \phi_I \) is the electrolyte potential.

Base on the electrode reaction occurred on the surface of electrode particles, lithium diffuses to and from the particle surface. The mass balance of lithium in the particles described in eq. (7) is solved in a 1D pseudo dimension [15].

\[ \frac{\partial c_s}{\partial t} = -\nabla \cdot (-D_s \nabla c_s), \]  

(7)

where \( D_s \) is the diffusion coefficient, and \( c_s \) is considered as an independent variable.

In this work, we focus our attention on the discharge characteristics of a coin cell battery with LFP cathode. The modeling of the two-phase process [8] of lithium insertion/deinsertion at the electrode particles in LFP is not included.

3. Simulation results

3.1 Discharge characteristics of LiFePO\(_4\) (LFP) /graphite coin cells

The calculations are performed at the discharge rates of 1/2, 2/3, 1 and 3/2 C. The battery is discharged down to 2.5 V from full-charge state. The ODE Events (ev) interface of COMSOL Multiphysics is used to control the end of discharge. The initial state-of-charge (SOC) of LFP is 0.23, which is \( \sim 1/4 \) of the total concentration of reaction sites, \( c_{s,max} \) of LFP. \( c_{s,max} = 0.98 \) is used in this work. Figure 1 shows the distributions of electrolyte salt concentration, lithium concentration at the surface of electrode particles, and electrolyte potential at the end of discharge (C-rate=0.5) for a LiFePO\(_4\)/graphite cell. Due to the difference of the lengths of positive and negative electrodes, the electrolyte salt concentration and lithium concentration in the electrodes appear a large gradient at the right end close to the gasket. The temporal evolutions of cell voltage and average SOCs in the electrodes are shown in Fig. 2. At the lower discharge rates, the cell voltage smoothly decreases to 3.1 V and then quickly goes down. Since the capacity of graphite electrode is higher than that of LFP, the average SOC of graphite electrode decreases from 0.68, corresponding the full charge of LFP.

![Figure 1. Calculation results of (a) electrolyte salt concentration, (b) lithium concentration at the surface of electrode particles, and (c) electrolyte potential at the end of discharge (C-rate=0.5) for a LiFePO\(_4\)/graphite cell.](image)
Figure 2. Temporal evolutions of (a) cell voltage, (b) average SOC in graphite electrode, and (c) average SOC in LiFePO₄ electrode during the discharge of a LiFePO₄/graphite cell.

Figure 3 shows the calculation results for an improved LiFePO₄ cathode configuration, in which the length of LFP is adjusted to be the same as that of graphite. As a result, the distributions of electrolyte salt concentration and lithium concentration in the electrodes become uniform.

### 3.2 Discharge characteristics of LiCoO₂/graphite and LiMn₂O₄/graphite coin cells

In order to compare LiFePO₄ (LFP) cathode with other cathode materials, the discharge characteristics of LiCoO₂ (LCO)/graphite and LiMn₂O₄ (LMO)/graphite coin cells are also calculated in this work. Since the capacity of LCO cathode is much higher than that of graphite, here we reduce the thickness of LCO to make the capacity of LCO be the same as that of graphite. Results show that the cell voltage of LCO/graphite decreases fast from 4.1 to 3.4 V, i.e., 17%, and the cell voltage of LMO/graphite decreases a little slow, from 4.0 to 3.6 V, i.e., 10% before depletion. The average SOC of graphite electrode for the LiCoO₂ (LCO)/graphite cell decreases from 0.93. This means that the almost full capacity of graphite is used for full charge. The variation of average SOC for the LiMn₂O₄ (LMO)/graphite cell is similar to that of LFP.

### 4. Conclusions

This paper reports the simulation results of a LiFePO₄/graphite lithium-ion coin cell battery. It is found that the distributions of lithium ion concentration, potential and lithium concentration at the electrode particles can be improved by adjusting the electrode configuration. At low discharge rates, the decrease of the cell voltage of LiFePO₄/graphite before depletion is slower than those of other cathode materials, which would be beneficial in industrial applications of LiFePO₄ in near future.
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