Empirical Verification of COMSOL<sup>®</sup> Simulation of Resonance Frequency of an Archimedean Spiral Coil M. P. Adams<sup>1</sup>, K. P. Koch<sup>1</sup>

1. Electrical Engineering, Trier University of Applied Sciences, Trier, RP, Germany

**INTRODUCTION**: An Archimedean Spiral Coil was build up like shown in Figure 1 and measured with an network analyzer to verify the COMSOL<sup>®</sup> simulation of the resonance frequency. The measured resonance frequency was round about 100 MHz.

**RESULTS**: The higher the model accuracy, the better the simulated resonance frequency matches the measurement result.





(a) (b) Figure 1. Side- and front view of the Experimental Spiral Coil

**COMPUTATIONAL METHODS**: The RF Module of COMSOL<sup>®</sup> was used in it's 3D Electromagnetic Wave, Frequency Domain formulation with the Eigenfrequency study. The corresponding equations are shown below. **Figure 3**. Distribution of Electrical Field and Magnetic Field Lines

1 Archimodoan Sniral	$\varepsilon_r$	R/mm	d/mm	$f_R$ /MH
I.Alchineueun Sphul.	2	0.4	2.8	137.7
156 MHz	2	0.4	3	139.1
2 Archimedean Sniral	2	0.4	3.2	140.22
	2	0.5	2.8	134.38
with connection wires:	2	0.5	3	130.13
146 MHz	$\frac{2}{2}$	0.5	5.2 2.8	130.87
2 Analaina a da ana Crainad	$\overline{2}$	0.6	3	132.87
3.Archimeaean Spirai	2	0.6	3.2	134.31
with bended connection	3	0.4	2.8	131.77
	3	0.4	3	133.1
wires:	3	0.4	3.2	134.16
$\theta/^{\circ} f_R/MHz$	3	0.5	2.8	129.22
12 144.81	3	0.5	3	130.83
15 144.07	3	0.5	3.2	132.09
18 143.12	3	0.6	2.8	126.35
	3	0.6	3	128.16
Table 1. Variation of	3	0.6	3.2	129.48
Bending Angle of	4	0.4	2.8	127.55
Connection-Wire	4	0.4	3 2	120.04
	4	0.4	3.2 2.8	129.70
4. Archimedean Spiral	4	0.5	3	127.05
$\frac{1}{100} = \frac{100}{100} = $	4	0.5	3.2	128.21
with 18 benaea	4	0.6	2.8	123.04
connection wires and	4	0.6	3	124.72
cardboard	4	0.6	3.2	125.93
CUTUDUTU.				

## $\vec{0} = \nabla \times \mu_r^{-1} (\nabla \times \vec{E}) - k_0^2 \left( \varepsilon_r - j \frac{\sigma}{\omega \varepsilon_0} \right) \vec{E}$ $\lambda = \delta - j\omega$

Inner effects like skin effect or inner inductivity are neglected. The geometry was build up in four steps of precision to distinguish between partial influences. As outer geometry, an air-filled cylinder was chosen. Figure 2 shows the model with the highest accuracy.



**Table 2.** Variation of Permittivity,Wire-Radius and Average Wire-Distance

**CONCLUSIONS**: Due to the neglect of internal effects, it can be said that the simulation result represents a good approximation of the measurement result.The addition of skin effect and internal inductance would

## **Figure 2**. Geometry Model of Archimedean Spiral Coil and Tedrahedral Mesh

## further reduce the resonance frequency.

## **REFERENCES**:

1.J.W.Hooker, Effects of dielectric substrates and ground planes on resonance frequency of archimedean spirals, IEEE Transactions on Applied Superconductivity, vol. 26, pp. 1-4 (2016)
2.S. Eroglu, Nmr spiral surface microcoils: design, fabrication and imaging, Concepts in Magnetic Resonance Part B: Magnetic Resonance Engineering, vol. 17, pp. 1-10, (2003)
3.O. Georg, Elektromagnetische Wellen: Grundlagen und durchgerechnete Beispiele, Springer Verlag (2013)

Excerpt from the Proceedings of the 2018 COMSOL Conference in Lausanne